arXiv:1701.01967v3 [math.DG] 4 Apr 2017

WEYL'S LAW ON $RCD^*(K,N)$ METRIC MEASURE SPACES

HUI-CHUN ZHANG AND XI-PING ZHU

Abstract. In this paper, we will prove the Weyl's law for the asymptotic formula of Dirichlet eigenvalues on metric measure spaces with generalized Ricci curvature bounded from below.

1. Introduction

One of most fundamental theorems in spectral geometry is the Weyl's law [14], which states that, on any closed n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g), we have a leading asymptotic

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^{n/2}}=\frac{\omega_n}{(2\pi)^n}vol_g(M^n),$$

where λ_j, $1\leqslant j<\infty$, are the eigenvalues of Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on (M^n, g), and $N(\lambda)$ is the spectral counting function

$$N(\lambda):=\#\{\lambda_j\in \mathrm{Spec}(\Delta),\ \lambda_j\leqslant\lambda\},$$

and ω_n is the volume of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $\Omega\subset M^n$ is a domain in (M^n, g) with smooth boundary, then the same asymptotic formula holds for the Dirichlet (or Neumann) eigenvalues, by replacing $vol_g(M^n)$ by $vol_g(\Omega)$.

It has a wide range of interests about the extensions of Weyl's law, (see, for examples, [40, 41, 37] and a survey [27]). In particular, on a weighted Riemannian manifold with Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature bounded from below, if the density $\mu:=f\cdot vol_g$ is smooth, and is bounded away from 0 and ∞, then it was shown by E. Milman in [37] that the classical Weyl's law still holds for weighted Laplacian $\Delta_\mu:=\Delta+\langle\nabla\ln f,\nabla\rangle$.

In this paper, we will extend this classical result to *non-smooth* settings. To formulate our main result, we need to introduce some notations. Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space (a metric space equipped a Radon measure). A synthetic notion of lower Ricci bounds on (X, d, μ) was introduced in the pioneering works of Sturm [47, 48] and Lott-Villani [35, 36]. Nowadays, many important developments were given in this field (see [4, 1, 8, 3, 9, 16, 12, 17, 39, 26, 34, 28] and so on). In particular, to rule out the Finsler spaces, an improvement notion, $RCD(K,\infty)$-condition, was introduced by Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré in [3, §5]. The finitely dimensional case, $RCD(K,N)$, was given by Gigli in [17, 18, §4.3], and a splitting theorem for $RCD(0,N)$-space was proved by Gigli [17]. The constants K and N play the role of "Ricci curvature $\geqslant K$ and dimension $\leqslant N$". Very recently, Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [4], Erbar-Kuwada-Sturm [16] and Ambrosio-Mondino-Savaré [8] introduced a Bakry-Emery condition BE, which is a weak formulation of Bochner inequality. They proved in [8, 16] that the condition $BE(K,N)$ is equivalent to the (reduced) Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $RCD^*(K,N)$ for constants $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\geqslant 1$. In [11, Theorem 1.1], Cavalletti-Milman showed that the condition $RCD^*(K,N)$ is equivalent to the condition $RCD(K,N)$ provided the total measure $\mu(X)<\infty$.

Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space satisfying $RCD^*(K,N)$ for some $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\in(1,\infty)$. For any bounded domain $\Omega\subset X$, according to [13, 45], the Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty$, are well defined. Moreover, the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space ([4]). The Cheeger energy over Ω

$$\mathrm{Ch}(f)=\int_\Omega|\nabla f|_w^2\mathrm{d}\mu$$

provides a closed quadratic form acting on the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, where $|\nabla f|_w$ is the weak upper gradient of f ([4]). The Dirichlet form $(\mathrm{Ch}, W_0^{1,2}(\Omega))$ is associated with a self-adjoint operator Δ^Ω. If $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\leqslant$

$\mathrm{diam}(X)/a$ for some $a > 1$, then the Rellich's compactness theorem holds (see [23] or [10, Eq.(5.2)]), and hence the operator $(Id - \Delta^{\Omega})^{-1}$ is compact. The classical spectral theorem implies that Dirichlet spectrum is discrete, denoted by

$$0 < \lambda_1^{\Omega} \leqslant \lambda_2^{\Omega} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_m^{\Omega} \leqslant \cdots, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Our main result in this paper is the following Weyl asymptotic formula for these Dirichlet eigenvalues:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space (X, d, μ) satisfying $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $N > 1$. Assume that the Hausdorff dimension of X is N. Suppose that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathscr{H}^N$ with the density e^{-V}, i.e. $\mu = e^{-V} \cdot \mathscr{H}^N$. We also suppose the $V \in L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be a bounded domain of X such that $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega) \leqslant \mathrm{diam}(X)/s$ for some $s > 1$. Then N is an integral and there holds the asymptotic formula:*

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N_{\Omega}(\lambda)}{\lambda^{N/2}} = \frac{\omega_N \cdot \mathscr{H}^N(\Omega)}{(2\pi)^N}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $N_{\Omega}(\lambda) := \#\{\lambda_j^{\Omega} : \lambda_j^{\Omega} \leqslant \lambda\}$.

Remark that the RHS of (1.1) dose not depend on the weight of the measure μ. Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of Theorem 4.6, a more general result on RCD^*-spaces. In the case of a smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) of n-dimension with the Riemannian volume $\mu := vol_g$, the relation (1.1) recovers the classical Weyl's law.

Let us look at the case of an $n(\geqslant 2)$-dimensional Alexandrov space (X, d) with the Hausdorff measure $\mathscr{H}^n$, and with curvature $\geqslant k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$. It was proved [42, 51] that $(X, d, \mathscr{H}^n)$ satisfies $RCD^*((n-1)k, n)$. From Theorem 1.1, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 1.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in an n-dimensional Alexandrov space $(X, d, \mathscr{H}^n)$. Then we have the Weyl's law*

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{N_{\Omega}(\lambda)}{\lambda^{n/2}} = \frac{\omega_n \cdot \mathscr{H}^n(\Omega)}{(2\pi)^n}. \tag{1.2}$$

Another consequence is that the Weyl's law also holds for noncollapsing limit spaces in the sense of Cheeger-Colding. More precisely, if (X, d, μ) is a Gromov-Hausdoff limit space of a sequence of pointed Riemannian manifolds (M_j, g_j, p_j) with

$$Ric_{M_j} \geqslant K, \quad \dim(M_j) = n, \quad vol_{g_j}(B_1(p_j)) \geqslant v_0 > 0,$$

then the Weyl's law (1.2) still holds. This case has been already proven by Ding in [15].

Recalling that in the proof of the Weyl's law on smooth setting, a key ingredient is that a uniformly small time asymptotic behaviour of heat trace $H(t, x, x)$ via the parametrix of heat kernels. However, the construction of the parametrix on smooth manifolds does not work on *singular* metric measure spaces. To deal with this lack of the parametrix, we shall get the small time asymptotic behavior via the (locally) uniform convergce of Dirichlet heat kernels along a pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff converging sequence of metric measure spaces, as in [15, 50, 19].

As a byproduct, we show a local spectral convergence on $RCD^*(K, N)$-spaces, which is of independent interesting (See Theorem 3.8).

Proposition 1.3. *Let pointed metric measure spaces $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to $(X_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, \mu_{\infty}, p_{\infty})$ in the sense of pointed measure Gromov-Hausdorff. Suppose that all (X_j, d_j, μ_j) satisfy $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $N > 1$. Let $R > 0$ with $R \in (0, \mathrm{diam}(X_j)/a)$ for some $a > 2$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $\partial B_R(p_{\infty}) = \partial(X_{\infty} \backslash \overline{B_R(p_{\infty})})$.*[1]

[1] We remark that this assumption can be replaced by $\mathrm{Cap}_2(\partial B_R(p_{\infty}) \backslash \partial(X_{\infty} \backslash \overline{B_R(p_{\infty})})) = 0$.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}$ the m−th Dirichlet eigenvalues of $\Delta^{(R)}_j$ on ball $B_R(p_j)$. Then we have that the spectral convergence $\lim_{j\to\infty} \lambda^{(R)}_{m,j} = \lambda^{(R)}_{m,\infty}$.

Remark 1.4. *A spectral convergence theorem for eigenvalues $\lambda_{m,j}(X)$, different from the local Dirichlet eigenvalues in Proposition 1.3, on a sequence of convergent compact metric measure spaces (X_j, d_j, μ_j) was proved by Gigli e.t. in* [19].

The following example shows that the assumption $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$ is necessary in Proposition 1.3. We would like to thank Prof. S. Honda for telling us such an example.

Example 1.5. *Let $X_j := [-1 + \frac{1}{j}, 1 - \frac{1}{j}]$ equip the Euclidean distance d_E and the 1-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}^1$ and let $p_j = 0$. Then the pointed measure measure spaces*

$$(X_j, d_E, \mathcal{L}^1, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty := [-1, 1], d_E, \mathcal{L}^1, p_\infty := 0).$$

Now let us consider the balls $B_1(p_j)$ $(= X_j)$. It is clear that $B_1(p_j)$ converge to $B_1(p_\infty)$ $(= (-1, 1))$ in the sense of Gromov-Hausdorff, as $j \to \infty$. However, we remark that $\partial B_1(p_\infty) = \{-1, 1\}$ and that $\partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_1(p_\infty)}) = \emptyset$.

Consider the first Dirichlet eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,j}$ of on $B_1(p_j)$. Because $\partial B_1(p_j) = \emptyset$, we have $Lip_0(B_1(p_j)) = Lip(B_1(p_j))$. So the function $f = 1$ is in $Lip_0(B_1(p_j))$. This implies that $\lambda_{1,j} = 0$. On the other hand, it is obvious that the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $B_1(p_\infty)$ is $\lambda_{1,\infty} = \pi^2/4$ (with eigenfunction $f(t) = \cos(\pi t/2)$).

Remark 1.6. *(1) Very recently, in an independent work* [7] *by L. Ambrosio, S. Honda and D. Tewodrose, they show that the Weyl's law for eigenvalues $\lambda_j(X)$ of a whole compact $RCD^*(K, N)$-space (and the Neumann eigenvalues), different from the local Dirichlet eigenvalues in this paper, holds if and only if*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \int_X \frac{r^k}{\mu(B_r(x))} \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_X \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{r^k}{\mu(B_r(x))} \mathrm{d}\mu,$$

where k is the largest integer k such that $\mu(\mathcal{R}_k) > 0$, and the $\mathcal{R}_k$ is the pieces in the decomposition in [38]. *See also the constant k_1 in Theorem 4.6.*

(2) In another independent work [6] *by L. Ambrosio and S. Honda, they get that the same local spectral convergence result in Proposition 1.3 holds if and only if the following analytic condition holds:*

$$W^{1,2}_0(B_R(p_\infty)) = \cap_{\epsilon>0} W^{1,2}_0(B_{R+\epsilon}(p_\infty)).$$

Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we will provide some necessary materials about $RCD^*(K, N)$ metric measure spaces and heat kernels on metric measure spaces. In Section 3, we will prove the locally uniformly convergence of heat kernels for a sequence of converging metric measure spaces. The main result Theorem 1.1 will be proved in the last section.

Acknowledgements. In the previous version we overlooked the condition $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$ in Proposition 1.3. We appreciate Prof. S. Honda for showing us Example 1.5. We are also grateful to Prof. Ambrosio and S. Honda for sharing us their interesting manuscripts [6, 7]. We would like to thank Prof. D. G. Chen, B. B. Hua and Z. Q. Wang, and Dr. X. T. Huang for their interesting in the paper. The first author is partially supported by NSFC 11571374, and the second author is partially supported by NSFC 11521101.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a complete metric measure space and μ be a Radon measure on X with $\mathrm{supp}(\mu) = X$. Given any $p \in X$ and $R > 0$, we denote by $B_R(p)$ the ball centered at p with radius R.

2.1. **Sobolev spaces, infinitesimally Hilbertian spaces and local Dirichlet heat kernels.**

Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space. Several different notions of Sobolev spaces on (X, d, μ) have been given in [13, 45, 2, 23, 22]. They coincide each other on a metric measure spaces having a measure doubling property and supporting L^2-Poincaré inequality (see, for example, [2]).

A metric measure space (X, d, μ) is called to have a (*local*) *measure doubling property* if, for any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $C_D := C_D(R)$ such that

$$\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \leqslant 2^{C_D} \cdot \mu(B_r(x)), \qquad \forall \text{ ball } B_r(x) \text{ with } r \in (0, R). \tag{2.1}$$

The (X, d, μ) is called to support a (*local*) *L^2-Poincaré inequality* if, for any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $C_P := C_P(R)$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(x)} |f - \bar{f}_{B_r(x)}| \mathrm{d}\mu \leqslant C_P \cdot r^2 \int_{B_{2r}(x)} (\mathrm{Lip} f)^2 \mathrm{d}\mu \qquad \forall \text{ ball } B_r(x) \text{ with } r \in (0, R), \tag{2.2}$$

for all $f \in Lip_{\mathrm{loc}}(B_{2r}(x))$, the locally Lipschitz continuous functions on $B_{2r}(x)$, where $\bar{f}_B =: \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B f \mathrm{d}\mu$, and $\mathrm{Lip} f(x)$ is the *pointwise Lipschitz constant* ([13]) of f at x, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Lip} f(x) := \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x, y)} = \limsup_{r \to 0} \sup_{d(x,y) \leqslant r} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r},$$

and $\mathrm{Lip} f(x) = 0$ if x is isolated.

In the sequel of this subsection, we always assume that (X, d, μ) has a locally doubling property and that it supports a local L^2-Poincaré inequality. For an open subset $\Omega \subset X$, we denote by $Lip_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ (and $Lip_0(\Omega)$), the set of all locally Lipschitz continuous functions on Ω (and the set of all Lipschitz continuous functions with compact support in Ω, respectively).

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\Omega \subset X$ be an open subset, and let $f \in L^p(\Omega) \cap Lip_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$. The $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$-norm, $\|f\|_{1,p}$, is given by

$$\|f\|_{1,p} := \|f\|_p + \|\mathrm{Lip} f\|_p,$$

here and in the sequel, we denote $\|f\|_p := \|f\|_{L^p}$. The Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the closure of all of locally Lipschitz continuous, f, for which $\|f\|_{1,p} < \infty$, under the norm $\|f\|_{1,p}$. Given $p \in (1, \infty)$, it was proved [13, 2] , for each $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, that there exists a function $|\nabla f| \in L^p(\Omega)$, the so-called *minimal weak upper gradient*, such that

$$\|f\|_{1,p} = \|f\|_p + \||\nabla f|\|_p.$$

For a locally Lipschitz function $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it was showed [13] that $|\nabla f| = \mathrm{Lip} f$ a.e. in Ω. We say that a function $f \in W^{1,p}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$ if $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$ for every open subset $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$. We refer the readers to [13, 45, 2] for the furthermore information of these Sobolev spaces.

For $1 < p < \infty$, let us recall from [24] that the *Sobolev p-capacity* of the set $E \subset X$:

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(E) := \inf \{\|f\|^p_{W^{1,p}(X)} : \ f \in W^{1,p}(X) \text{ such that } f \geqslant 1 \text{ on a neighborhood of } E\}.$$

If there is no such a function f, we set $\mathrm{Cap}_p(E) = \infty$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Cap}_p(\overline{E}) = \mathrm{Cap}_p(E)$. Moreover, we have [24] that

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(E) = \inf \{\mathrm{Cap}_p(O) : \ O \supset E, \ O \text{ open}\}.$$

An equivalent definition is given in [45], see for instance [31, Theorem 3.4] and [46].

A property holds p-q.e. (p-quasi everywhere), if it holds except of a set Z with $\mathrm{Cap}_p(Z) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Cap}_p(\overline{Z}) = \mathrm{Cap}_p(Z)$, we may assume that the except set Z is closed. A function $f : X \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is called *p-quasi continuous* in X if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a set F_ϵ such that $\mathrm{Cap}_p(F_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ and the restriction $f|_{X \setminus F_\epsilon}$ is continuous. We may also assume that F_ϵ is closed.

It is well-known that any $W^{1,p}$-function f has a p-quasi continuous representative (see [24]). We will always use such a reprensentative in this paper. In [31, Theorem 3.2], it is proved that, for any two p-quasi continuous functions f and g, if $f = g$ μ-a.e. in an open set O, then $f = g$ p-q.e. in O.

Definition 2.1 ([31]). Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $E \subset X$, a function f on E is called to belong to the Sobolev space with zero boundary values, denoted by $f \in W_0^{1,p}(E)$, if there exists a p-quasi continuous function $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(X)$ such that $\tilde{f} = f$ μ-a.e. in E and $\tilde{f} = 0$ p-q.e. in $X\backslash E$.

If $\Omega \subset X$ is an open sebset, it was shown [13, 2] that the space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, for $1 < p < \infty$. According to [31, Remark 5.10] (see also [46, Theorem 4.8]), the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = H_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which is the closure of $Lip_0(\Omega)$ under the $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$-norm.

Given any open set $\Omega \subset X$, it is clear that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$. However, generally speaking, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \neq W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $O \subset X$ be an open set and let $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that f is a p-quasi continuous in X and that $f = 0$ p-q.e. in O. Then we have that $f = 0$ p-q.e. in $\overline{O}$.*

Proof. From the definition, we know that $f = 0$ p-q.e. in O. So it suffices to show that $f = 0$ p-q.e. in ∂O. We can assume $\mathrm{Cap}_p(\partial O) > 0$. Otherwise, it is nothing to do.

Argue by contradiction, suppose that there is a subset $A \subset \partial O$ such that $\mathrm{Cap}_p(A) > 0$ and that $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in A$.

Taken arbitrarily $\epsilon \in (0, \mathrm{Cap}_p A/2)$, since f is a p-quasi continuous in X, we can find a closed set F_ϵ with $\mathrm{Cap}_p(F_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ and the restriction $f|_{X\backslash F_\epsilon}$ is continuous. Noting that $f = 0$ p-q.e. in O, i.e., there exists a closed set Z with $\mathrm{Cap}_p(Z) = 0$ such that $f = 0$ on $O\backslash Z$. We have that the restriction $f|_{O\backslash(F_\epsilon \cup Z)} \equiv 0$.

By $\mathrm{Cap}_p(F_\epsilon \cup Z) < \epsilon < \mathrm{Cap}_p A/2$, we know that $A\backslash(F_\epsilon \cup Z) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_0 \in A\backslash(F_\epsilon \cup Z)$. There exists a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset O$ with $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_j = x_0$, since $x_0 \in \partial O$. Noting that $F_\epsilon \cup Z$ is closed, we have that $x_j \notin F_\epsilon \cup Z$ for all sufficiently large j. By combining the facts that $f|_{X\backslash(F_\epsilon \cup Z)}$ is continuous at x_0 and that $f(x_j) = 0$ for all large j (since $x_j \in O\backslash(F_\epsilon \cup Z)$ for all large j), we conclude that $f(x_0) = 0$. This contradicts with $x_0 \in A$, and hence we finish the proof. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open set and let $1 < p < \infty$. If $\partial\Omega = \partial(X\backslash\overline{\Omega})$, then $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$.*

Proof. It suffices to show $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \supset W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$. Given any $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$, there exists a function $\tilde{f}$ is a p-quasi continuous in X such that $\tilde{f} = f$ μ-a.e. in $\overline{\Omega}$ and that $\tilde{f} = 0$ p-q.e. in $X\backslash\overline{\Omega}$. By applying Lemma 2.2 to $\tilde{f}$ and $O := X\backslash\overline{\Omega}$, we have that $\tilde{f} = 0$ p-q.e. in $\overline{X\backslash\overline{\Omega}}$. By the assumption $\partial\Omega = \partial(X\backslash\overline{\Omega})$, we have

$$\overline{X\backslash\overline{\Omega}} = (X\backslash\overline{\Omega}) \cup \partial(X\backslash\overline{\Omega}) = (X\backslash\overline{\Omega}) \cup \partial\Omega = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega = \overline{\Omega}.$$

Therefore, we get that $\tilde{f} = 0$ p-q.e. in $\overline{\Omega}$. Noting that $\tilde{f} = f$ μ-a.e. in $\Omega \subset \overline{\Omega}$, we have $f \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, by Definition 2.1. The proof is finished. □

Remark 2.4. *(1) In fact, in Corollary 2.3, we only need to assume that*

$$\mathrm{Cap}_p(\partial\Omega\backslash\partial(X\backslash\overline{\Omega})) = 0.$$

(2) The space $W_0^{1,p}(\overline{\Omega})$ is equivalent to the space $\hat{H}_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ given in [6] *by Ambrosio-Honda.*

In general, the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is not a Hilbert space.

Definition 2.5 ([4, 18]). *A metric measure space (X, d, μ) is called* infinitesimally Hilbertian *if the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(X)$ is a Hilbert space. Equivalently, the associated* Cheeger energy *over X,* $\mathrm{Ch}(f) := \int_X |\nabla f|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu$ *is quadratic.*

Let (X, d, μ) be an infinitesimally Hilbertian and proper metric measure space. Given any bounded open set $\Omega \subset X$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$, according to [18, §4.3], the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is still a Hilbert space, and for any $f, g \in W^{1,2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, the inner product $\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle$ is well defined in $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$.

In the sequel of the paper, for an infinitesimally Hilbertian and proper metric measure space (X, d, μ), we will always denote that

$$H^1(\Omega) := W^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad H_0^1(\Omega) := W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\rm loc}^1(\Omega) := W_{\rm loc}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Fix any bounded domain $\Omega \subset X$, we consider the canonical Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}_\Omega, H_0^1(\Omega))$, where

$$\mathscr{E}_\Omega(f) := \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad f \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{2.3}$$

It is well-known (see for example [49]) that the canonical Dirichlet form is strongly local and regular, the associated infinitesimal generator, denoted by Δ_Ω with domain $D(\Delta_\Omega)$, is a non-positive definite self-adjoint operator, and the associated analytic semi-group is given by

$$H_t f(x) = \int_\Omega H^\Omega(t, x, y) f(y) \mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad t \geqslant 0$$

for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, where $H^\Omega(t, x, y)$ is the (local) Dirichlet heat kernel (the fundamental solution of the heat equation with Dirichlet boundary value).

If $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega) \leqslant \mathrm{diam}(X)/s$ for some $s > 1$, a compact embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$ was proved in [23] (see also [10, Eq.(5.2)]), and hence that the operator $(Id - \Delta_\Omega)^{-1}$ is compact. Thus the spectral theorem implies that spectrum is discrete (see, for example [14]). We denote by

$$0 < \lambda_1^\Omega \leqslant \lambda_2^\Omega \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_m^\Omega \leqslant \cdots, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

the (Dirichlet) eigenvalues of Δ_Ω. For each λ_m^Ω, the associated eigenfunction is ϕ_m^Ω, i.e.,

$$\Delta_\Omega \phi_m^\Omega = -\lambda_1^\Omega \phi_m^\Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

We normalize them so that $\|\phi_m^\Omega\|_2 = 1$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well-known that the sequence $\{\phi_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ forms a complete basis of $L^2(\Omega)$, and that the local Dirichlet heat kernel can be written as

$$H^\Omega(t, x, y) = \sum_{m\geqslant 1} e^{-\lambda_m^\Omega} \phi_m^\Omega(x) \phi_m^\Omega(y), \qquad \forall (x, y, t) \in \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, \infty). \tag{2.5}$$

The weak maximum principle implies the monotonicity of Dirichlet heat kernels with respect to domains. Namely, given two domains $\Omega \subset \Omega' \subset X$, we have

$$H^\Omega(t, x, y) \leqslant H^{\Omega'}(t, x, y), \qquad \forall (x, y, t) \in \Omega \times \Omega \times (0, \infty).$$

The existence and Gaussian bounds of the global heat kernel has been established in [49] on a metric measure space having a measure doubling property and supporting a L^2-Poincaré inequality. Thus for a sequence of balls $\{B_{R_j}(x_0)\}$ with $R_j \nearrow \infty$, the heat kernels $H^{B_{R_j}(x_0)}(x, y, t)$ converge to a global heat kernel $H(x, y, t)$ on $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$, as $R_j \nearrow \infty$.

Let us recall the definition of the *distributional Lapalacian*. Given a function $f \in H_{\rm loc}^1(\Omega)$, the distributional Laplacian $\mathscr{L} f$ is defined as a functional

$$\mathscr{L} f(\phi) := -\int_\Omega \langle \nabla f, \nabla \phi \rangle \mathrm{d}\mu, \qquad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.6}$$

If $f \in H^1(\Omega)$, then $\mathscr{L} f$ can be extended to a functional on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. It is clear that if $f \in D(\Delta_\Omega)$ and $\Delta_\Omega f = g$, then $\mathscr{L} f = g \cdot \mu$ in the sense of distributions. Conversely, it was proved [18] that any $f \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, if there is a function $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that $\mathscr{L} f = g \cdot \mu$ in the sense of distributions, then $f \in D(\Delta_\Omega)$ and $\Delta_\Omega f = g$.

2.2. **Riemannian curvature-dimension conditions *RCD*(K,N)*.**

Let (X,d,μ) be a metric measure space. We denote by $\mathscr{P}_2(X,d)$ the L^2-Wasserstein space over (X,d), i.e., the set of all Borel probability measures ν with

$$\int_X d^2(x_0,x)\mathrm{d}\nu(x)<\infty$$

for some (hence for all) $x_0\in X$. Given $\nu_1,\nu_2\in\mathscr{P}_2(X,d)$, their L^2-Wasserstein distance is defined by

$$W_2^2(\nu_0,\nu_1):=\inf\int_{X\times X}d^2(x,y)\mathrm{d}q(x,y)$$

where the infimum is taken over all couplings q of ν_1 and ν_2, i.e., Borel probability measures q on $X\times X$ with marginals ν_0 and ν_1. Such a coupling q realizing the L^2-Wasserstein distance is called an *optimal coupling* of ν_0 and ν_1. Given a measure $\nu\in\mathscr{P}_2(X,d)$, its relative entropy is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ent}(\nu):=\int_X\rho\ln\rho\mathrm{d}\mu,$$

if $\nu=\rho\cdot\mu$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. μ and $(\rho\ln\rho)_+$ is integrable. Otherwise we set $\mathrm{Ent}(\nu)=+\infty$. Let $\mathscr{P}_2^*(X,d,\mu)\subset\mathscr{P}_2(X,d)$ be the subset of all measures ν such that $\mathrm{Ent}(\nu)$ is finite.

We set the function

$$\sigma_k^{(t)}(\theta):=\begin{cases}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{k}\cdot t\theta)}{\sin(\sqrt{k}\cdot\theta)}, & 0<k\theta^2<\pi^2,\\ t, & k\theta^2=0,\\ \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{-k}\cdot t\theta)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-k}\cdot\theta)}, & k\theta^2<0,\\ \infty, & k\theta^2\geqslant\pi^2.\end{cases}$$

Several equivalent definitions for Riemannian curvature-dimension condition were introduced in [16, 8, 17, 18]. In this paper, we adapt the following notions for the convenience.

Definition 2.6 ([16]). *Let $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\in[1,\infty)$. A metric measure space (X,d,μ) is called to satisfy the* entropy curvature-dimension condition $CD^e(K,N)$ *if any only if for each pair $\nu_0,\nu_1\in\mathscr{P}_2^*(X,d,\mu)$ there exist a constant speed geodesic $(\nu_t)_{0\leqslant t\leqslant 1}$ in $\mathscr{P}_2^*(X,d,\mu)$ connecting ν_0 to ν_1 such that for all $t\in[0,1]$:*

$$U_N(\nu_t)\geqslant\sigma_{K/N}^{(1-t)}(W_2(\nu_0,\nu_1))\cdot U_N(\nu_0)+\sigma_{K/N}^{(t)}(W_2(\nu_0,\nu_1))\cdot U_N(\nu_1),\tag{2.7}$$

where $U_N(\nu):=\exp\big(-\frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Ent}(\nu)\big)$.

Moreover, (X,d,μ) is said to satisfy Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $RCD^*(K,N)$*, for $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\in[1,\infty)$, if it is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies the $CD^e(K,N)$ condition.*

Let $N\geqslant 1$, the generalized Bishop-Gromov inequality for $RCD^*(K,N)$ space (by a combination of [21, Corollary of 1.5] and [48, Remark 5.3]) states that for any $p\in X$ and any $0<r<R$,

$$\frac{\mu(B_R(p))}{\int_0^R\mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}^{N-1}(t)\mathrm{d}t}\leqslant\frac{\mu(B_r(p))}{\int_0^r\mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}^{N-1}(t)\mathrm{d}t},\tag{2.8}$$

where the function $\mathfrak{s}_k(t)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{s}_k(\tau)=\begin{cases}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{k}\cdot\tau)}{\sqrt{k}} & \text{if}\quad k>0,\\ \tau & \text{if}\quad k=0,\\ \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{-k}\cdot\tau)}{\sqrt{-k}} & \text{if}\quad k<0.\end{cases}\tag{2.9}$$

It was proved [48, 16] that a metric measure space (X,d,μ) with $RCD^*(K,N)$ for $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\in[1,\infty)$ satisfies the following properties:

• (X,d) is a locally compact length space, i.e., for any $p,q\in X$, there is a shortest curve joined them.

• (X, d, μ) has a local measure doubling property on each ball $B_R(x) \subset X$. Moreover, by (2.8), we have, for all $0 < r < R$, that

$$\frac{\mu(B_R(p))}{\mu(B_r(p))} \leqslant \Big(\frac{R}{r}\Big)^N \cdot \exp\big(\sqrt{(N-1)|K \wedge 0|} \cdot R\big) := C_{N,K,R} \cdot \Big(\frac{R}{r}\Big)^N. \tag{2.10}$$

• (X, d, μ) supports a local L^2-Poincaré inequality on each ball $B_R(x) \subset X$. Moreover, the Poincaré constant $C_P(R)$ depends only on N and $\sqrt{|K \wedge 0|}R$.

• Suppose $K \leqslant 0$. There exists a positive constant $C_{N,K}$, depending only on N and K, such that the heat kernel $H(x, y, t)$ on X satisfies, (see [29, Theorem 1.2])

$$H(x, y, t) \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} \exp\Big(-\frac{d^2(x, y)}{5t} + C_{N,K} \cdot t\Big). \tag{2.11}$$

2.3. **Pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence.**

In this paper, we will pay us attentions to the $RCD^*(K, N)$-spaces. It is convenient to consider only the case where all metric measure spaces are locally compact length space.

Definition 2.7. *Let (X_j, d_j, μ_j), for $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, be a sequence of compact metric measure spaces. It is said that $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge to $(X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty)$ in the sense of* measured Gromov-Hausdorff *topology, denoted by*

$$(X_j, d_j, \mu_j) \overset{mGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty),$$

if there exist a sequence of ϵ_j-GH-approximations $\Phi_j : X_j \to X_\infty$ with $\epsilon_j \to 0$ such that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

1. *for all $x, y \in X_j$, $|d_\infty(\Phi_j(x), \Phi_j(y)) - d_j(x, y)| \leqslant \epsilon_j$,*
2. *for any $x_\infty \in X_\infty$, there exists an $x_j \in X_j$ such that $d_\infty(x_\infty, \Phi_j(x_j)) \leqslant \epsilon_j$,*
3. *$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{X_\infty} g \circ \Phi_j \mathrm{d}\mu_j = \int_{X_\infty} g\mu_\infty$ for any $g \in C_0(X_\infty)$.*

Definition 2.8. *Let (X_j, d_j, μ_j, p_j), for $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, be a sequence of pointed metric measure spaces. Assume that they are locally compact length spaces. We say (X_j, d_j, μ_j, p_j) converge to $(X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty)$ in the sense of* pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff *topology, denoted by*

$$(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty),$$

if for each $R > 0$, the pointed metric measure spaces $(\overline{B_R(p_j)}, d_j, \mu_j)$ converge to the pointed metric measure space $(\overline{B_R(p_\infty)}, d_\infty, \mu_\infty)$ in the sense of measured Gromov-Hausdorff *topology with a sequence of $\epsilon_{j,R}$-GH-approximations $\Phi_{j,R}$ such that $\Phi_{j,R}(p_j) = p_\infty$.*

We also consider the uniform-convergence of functions on a pointed measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergeing sequence of pointed metric measure spaces.

Definition 2.9. *Let the pointed metric measure spaces $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ satisfy $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty)$. Let $K_j \subset X_j$ be a converging subsets $K_j \overset{GH}{\longrightarrow} K_\infty$ with a sequence of ϵ_j-GH-approximations Φ_j, where $\epsilon_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Suppose that $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ are functions on K_j and that f_∞ is continuous on K_∞. If $f_j \circ \Phi_j^{-1}$ converge to f_∞ uniformly on K_∞, then it is said that $f_j \to f_\infty$* uniformly *over K_j.*

We remark that the Arzela-Ascoli theorem can be generalized to the case where the functions live on different spaces (see, for example, [35] or Proposition 2.12 in [38]).

Lemma 2.10 (Lower semi-continuity of the energy, Proposition 2.13 in [38])**.** *Let the pointed metric measure spaces $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ satisfy that $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty)$, and let $R > 0$. Assume that they are $RCD^*(K, N)$ spaces for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $N > 1$.*

If f_j is a sequence of locally Lipschitz functions on $B_R(p_j)$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, and $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_R(p_j)$, then we have

$$\liminf_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_r(p_j)} |\nabla f_j|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_j \geqslant \int_{B_r(p_\infty)} |\nabla f_\infty|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_\infty, \qquad \forall\ r \in (0, R).$$

It is clear that if, in added that $|\nabla f_\infty| \in L^2(B_R(p_\infty))$, then we have $\liminf_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_R(p_j)} |\nabla f_j|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_j \geqslant \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} |\nabla f_\infty|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_\infty$.

Lemma 2.11 ([13, Lemma 10.7])**.** *Let $R > 0$ and let $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j=1,2}$ be two pointed metric measure spaces with $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $N > 1$. Assume that $d_{GH}(B_R(p_1), B_R(p_2)) < \varepsilon$, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, with an ε-GH-approximation $\Phi : B_R(p_1) \to B_R(p_2)$.*

If f_1 is a Lipschitz function on $B_R(p_1)$ with $\||\nabla f_1|\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p_1))} \leqslant L$, then there exists a Lipschitz function f_2 on $B_R(p_2)$ such that

$$\|f_1 - f_2 \circ \Phi^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p_1))} \leqslant \kappa(\varepsilon),$$
$$\||\nabla f_2|\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p_2))} \leqslant L + \kappa(\varepsilon),$$
$$\int_{B_R(p_2)} |\nabla f_2|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_2 \leqslant \int_{B_R(p_1)} |\nabla f_1|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_1 + \kappa(\varepsilon),$$

where $\kappa(\varepsilon) := \kappa_{N,K,R,L}(\varepsilon)$ is a positive function, depending on N, K, R and L,with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \kappa(\varepsilon) = 0$.

3. The converge for Dirichlet heat kernels

In this section, we will discuss the convergence of the local Dirichlet heat kernels on a sequence of converging pointed metric measure spaces.

3.1. **Convergence of functions living on pmGH-converging spaces.**

We fix a sequence of pointed metric measure spaces $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ in this subsection. Assume that they satisfy $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $N > 1$, and that

$$(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty).$$

Let us first introduce the notions of L^2-convergence and H^1-convergence for functions living on varying spaces X_j. We will adapt an intrinsic point of view for the definitions, similar as in [15, 32, 25] . We refer also readers to [19, 5] for some similar concepts of convergence via an extrinsic point of view.

Definition 3.1. *Let $R > 0$.*

(1) Suppose that $\{f_j\}_j \in L^2(B_R(p_j))$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that $f_j \to f_\infty$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a sequence of subsets $K_j \subset B_R(p_j)$, with $K_j \overset{GH}{\to} K_\infty \subset B_R(p_\infty)$, such that $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over K_j and

$$\int_{B_R(p_j)\setminus K_j} |f_j|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_j \leqslant \varepsilon, \qquad \forall\ j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}. \tag{3.1}$$

(2) Suppose that $\{f_j\}_j \in H^1(B_R(p_j))$ ($:= W^{1,2}(B_R(p_j))$) for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say that $f_j \to f_\infty$ in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$ if it holds $f_j \to f_\infty$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_R(p_j)} |\nabla f_j|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_j = \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} |\nabla f_\infty|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_\infty. \tag{3.2}$$

It is not hard to see that if $f_j \to f_\infty$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ in the above definition 3.1 (i), then their zero extensions $\tilde{f}_j$ (that is, $\tilde{f}_j = f_j$ in $B_R(p_j)$ and $\tilde{f}_j = f_j$ in $X_j\setminus B_R(p_j)$) strongly L^2-converge to $\tilde{f}_\infty$ in the sense of [19] (see also [5]). Indeed, by using that the weak compactness of $\{\tilde{f}_j\}$ in $L^2(X_j)$ (see, page 1115 on [19]), we get that $\tilde{f}_j$ weakly L^2-converge to $\tilde{f}_\infty$ in the sense of [19]. From the definition 3.1 (i), we have also that $\|\tilde{f}_j\|_{L^2(X_j)} \to \|\tilde{f}_\infty\|_{L^2(X_\infty)}$.

Let us sum up some basis properties on these convergences.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $R > 0$.*

(i) *Assume that $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$. If functions $g_j \in H_0^1(B_R(p_j))$ with $|||\nabla g_j|||_2 \leqslant C$ for some $C > 0$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and if $g_j \to g_\infty$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$, then we have $g_\infty \in H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$.*

(ii) *If $g_\infty \in H^1(B_R(p_\infty))$ and $f_\infty \in Lip(B_R(p_\infty))$ with $g_\infty - f_\infty \in H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$, then, given any sequence of Lipschitz functions $f_j \in Lip(B_R(p_j))$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_R(p_j)$, there exists functions $g_j \in H^1(B_R(p_j))$ such that $g_j \to g_\infty$ in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$ and $g_j - f_j \in H_0^1(B_R(p_j))$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In particular, if $g_\infty \in H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$, then there exists functions $g_j \in H_0^1(B_R(p_j))$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_j \to g_\infty$ in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$.

Proof. (i). From the denseness of the $Lip_0(B_R(p_j) \subset H_0^1(B_R(p_j))$, we can assume that $g_j \in Lip_0(B_R(p_j))$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\tilde{g}_j$ be the zero extension of g_j in X_j for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, $\tilde{g}_j = g_j$ in $B_R(p_j)$ and $\tilde{g}_j = 0$ in $X_j \backslash B_R(p_j)$. Noticing that $\tilde{g}_j$ weakly L^2-converge to $\tilde{g}_\infty$ in the sense of [19] (see also [5]) and that

$$|||\nabla \tilde{g}_j|||_{L^2(X_j)} = |||\nabla g_j|||_{L^2(B_R(p_j))} \leqslant C,$$

we obtain that $\tilde{g}_\infty \in H^1(X_\infty)$ and that $\tilde{g}_\infty = g_\infty$ μ_∞-a.e. in $B_R(p_\infty)$, and that $\tilde{g}_\infty = 0$ μ_∞-a.e. in $X \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)}$.

Now we want to show $g_\infty \in H_0^1(\overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$. Noting that $\tilde{g}_\infty$ is a 2-quasi continuous function and that $X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)}$ is an open set, we conclude, by [31, Theorem 3.2], that $\tilde{g}_\infty = 0$ 2-q.e. in $X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)}$. By using the fact that $\tilde{g}_\infty = g_\infty$ μ_∞-a.e. in $B_R(p_\infty)$ and that $\mu_\infty(\partial B_R(p_\infty)) = 0$, we have $\tilde{g}_\infty = g_\infty$ μ_∞-a.e. in $\overline{B_R(p_\infty)}$. Hence, by Definition 2.1, we have $g_\infty \in H_0^1(\overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$.

At last, by using the assumption $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$ and Corollary 2.3, we conclude $g_\infty \in H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$.

(ii). From the denseness of the $Lip_0(B_R(p_\infty) \subset H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$, we can assume that $g_\infty - f_\infty \in Lip_0(B_R(p_\infty))$, and hence $g_\infty \in Lip(B_R(p_\infty))$. We can use Lemma 2.11 to lift a sequence of functions $\hat{g}_j \in Lip(B_R(p_j))$ so that:

$$\|\hat{g}_j - g_\infty \circ \Phi_j\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \kappa(\varepsilon_j), \tag{3.3}$$

$$|||\nabla \hat{g}_j|||_{L^\infty} \leqslant |||\nabla g_\infty|||_{L^\infty} + \kappa(\varepsilon_j) \leqslant C, \tag{3.4}$$

$$|||\nabla \hat{g}_j|||_2 \leqslant |||\nabla g_\infty|||_2 + \kappa(\varepsilon_j), \tag{3.5}$$

where $\kappa(\varepsilon_j)$ depends on K, N, R and $|||\nabla g_\infty|||_{L^\infty}$. By (3.3) and the facts that $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_R(p_j)$ and that $g_\infty - f_\infty \in Lip_0(B_R(p_\infty))$, we can get

$$|\hat{g}_j(x) - f_j(x)| \leqslant \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j) \quad \text{for all } \ x \ \text{ is close near } \ \partial B_R(p_j),$$

for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and for some positive function κ_1 with $\lim_{t\to 0} \kappa_1(t) = 0$. We shall modify $\hat{g}_j$ slightly to

$$g_j := \begin{cases} \hat{g}_j - \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j) & \text{if} \quad \hat{g}_j - f_j \geqslant \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j), \\ f_j & \text{if} \quad |\hat{g}_j - f_j| \leqslant \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j), \\ \hat{g}_j + \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j) & \text{if} \quad \hat{g}_j - f_j \leqslant -\kappa_1(\varepsilon_j). \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Then we have, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, that $g_j - f_j \in Lip_0(B_R(p_j))$ and that, by (3.4) and (3.5),

$$|||\nabla g_j|||_{L^\infty} \leqslant C \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j\to\infty} |||\nabla g_j|||_2 \leqslant |||\nabla g_\infty|||_2. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.6), we have

$$\|g_j - \hat{g}_j\|_{L^\infty} \leqslant \kappa_1(\varepsilon_j).$$

The combination of this and (3.3) implies that $g_j \to g_\infty$ uniformly over $B_R(p_j)$. By using the lower semi-continuity of energy, Lemma 2.10, we have $\liminf_{j\to\infty} |||\nabla g_j|||_2 \geqslant |||\nabla g_\infty|||_2$. Thus we finish the proof of (ii). The proof is completed. □

As a corollary, we have the following convergence for the solutions of Poisson equations living on varying spaces, which is due essentially to [15, 25, 50, 19].

Corollary 3.3. *Let $R > 0$. Let $\{f_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ and $\{h_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ be two sequences of functions on $B_R(p_j)$ with $f_j \in Lip_{\rm loc}(B_R(p_j))$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$. Suppose that $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_r(p_j)$ for every $r \in (0, R)$, and that $h_j \to h_\infty$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$. Assume that*

$$\mathscr{L} f_j = h_j \cdot \mu_j, \qquad \forall\ j \in \mathbb{N},$$

on $B_R(p_j)$ in the sense of distributions. Then we have $\mathscr{L} f_\infty = h_\infty \cdot \mu_\infty$ on $B_R(p_\infty)$ in the sense of distributions.

Proof. We will prove it by a contradiction argument. Suppose not, there exists a ball $B_r(q_\infty) \subset\subset B_R(p_\infty)$ and a function $g_\infty \in H^1(B_r(q_\infty))$ such that $g_\infty - f_\infty \in H^1_0(B_r(q_\infty))$ and that

$$I(g_\infty) := \int_{B_\infty} (|\nabla g_\infty|^2 + h_\infty g_\infty)\mathrm{d}\mu_\infty \leqslant \int_{B_\infty} (|\nabla f_\infty|^2 + h_\infty f_\infty)\mathrm{d}\mu_\infty - \varepsilon_0 \tag{3.8}$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, where $B_\infty := B_r(q_\infty)$.

Take points $B_R(p_j) \ni q_j \to q_\infty$. Note that $f_j \in Lip(B_r(q_j))$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}\cap\{\infty\}$, and $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_r(q_j)$. By using Proposition 3.2 (ii) on $B_r(q_j)$, there exist functions $g_j \in H^1(B_r(q_j))$ such that $g_j \to g_\infty$ in $H^1(B_r(q_j))$ and that $g_j - f_j \in H^1_0(B_r(q_j))$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The combination of that $g_j \to g_\infty$ in $H^1(B_r(q_j))$ and that $h_j \to h_\infty$ in $L^2(B_r(q_j))$ implies

$$I(g_j) := \int_{B_r(q_j)} (|\nabla g_j|^2 + h_j g_j)\mathrm{d}\mu_j \to I(g_\infty), \quad \text{as } j \to \infty. \tag{3.9}$$

The fact $\mathscr{L} f_j = h_j \cdot \mu_j$ on $B_r(q_j)$ in the sense of distributions implies that $I(f_j) \leqslant I(g_j)$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By combining this with (3.8) and (3.9), we have, for sufficiently large j, that

$$I(f_j) \leqslant I(f_\infty) - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}.$$

Noticing that $f_j \to f_\infty$ uniformly over $B_r(q_j)$ and $h_j \to h_\infty$ in $L^2(B_r(q_j))$, this contradicts to the lower semi-continuity of energy, Lemma 2.10, that $\liminf_{j\to\infty} I(f_j) \geqslant I(f_\infty)$. The proof is completed. □

3.2. **The convergence of heat kernels.**

Let (X, d, μ) be a metric measure space satisfying $RCD^*(K, N)$. Note that, for any $N' > N$ and $K' < K$, (X, d, μ) satisfies $RCD^*(K', N')$ too. For simplify, we always assume that $3 \leqslant N < \infty$ and $K \leqslant 0$.

Fix a geodesic ball $B_R(p) \subset X$ with radius $R \in (0, \mathrm{diam}(X)/a)$ for some $a > 2$ (hence $\mathrm{diam} B_R(p) < \frac{\mathrm{diam}(X)}{a/2}$). Assume that $\lambda_m^{(R)} := \lambda_m^{B_R(p)}$ is the $m-$th Dirichlet eigenvalues of $\Delta^{(R)}$ on ball $B_R(p)$, and that $\phi_m^{(R)}$, normalized by $\|\phi_m^{(R)}\|_2 = 1$, is the associated eigenfunction with respect to $\lambda_m^{(R)}$. The Dirichlet heat kernel on $B_R(p)$ is

$$H^{(R)}(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_m^{(R)} t} \phi_m^{(R)}(x)\phi_m^{(R)}(y).$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $B_R(p)$ and $\lambda_m^{(R)}, \phi_m^{(R)}$ be as the above. Then there exist constants $C_1', C_2' > 0$, depending only on N, K and R, such that*

$$C_1' \cdot m^{2/N} \leqslant \lambda_m^{(R)} \leqslant C_2' \cdot m^2, \qquad \forall\ m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. To simplify the notations, in this proof, we will denote by $B_R := B_R(p)$ and $\lambda_m := \lambda_m^{(R)}$. From the monotonicity of the heat kernels with respect to domains and (2.10)-(2.11), we have

$$H^{(R)}(x, x, t) \leqslant H(x, x, t) \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} \cdot \exp(C_{N,K} \cdot t) \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K,R}}{\mu(B_R(x))} \cdot t^{-N/2} \cdot \exp(C_{N,K} \cdot t).$$

By integrating over $B_R(x)$, we get, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, that

$$m \cdot e^{-\lambda_m t} \leqslant \sum_{l \leqslant m} e^{-\lambda_l t} \leqslant \sum_{l \geqslant 1} e^{-\lambda_l t} \leqslant C_{N,K,R} \cdot t^{-N/2} \cdot \exp(C_{N,K} \cdot t) := C_1 \cdot t^{-N/2} \cdot \exp(C_2 \cdot t).$$

Setting $t = \frac{1}{\lambda_m}$ and noting that $\lambda_m \geqslant \lambda_1 \geqslant C_{N,K,R}$ for some constant $C_{N,K,R}$ (by the L^2-Poincare inequality), one has

$$m/e \leqslant C_1 \cdot \lambda_m^{N/2} \cdot \exp(C_2/\lambda_m) \leqslant C_1 \cdot \lambda_m^{N/2} \cdot \exp(C_2/\lambda_1) \leqslant C_3 \cdot \lambda_m^{N/2}.$$

This implies the desired lower bounds in (3.10).

The upper bounds in (3.10) can be proved by a comparison result for heat kernels of Cheng (see, for example, [15]). Here we provide a simple argument as following.

Fix any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We can find m points $\{x_s\}_{s=1,2,\cdots,m}$ in B_R such that $d(x_s, x_{s'}) \geqslant R/m$ for any $1 \leqslant s \neq s' \leqslant m$. We define functions ψ_s by

$$\psi_s(\cdot) := \eta(d(x_s, \cdot)), \qquad \forall\ s = 1, 2, \cdots, m,$$

where the function $\eta(t)$ is given by

$$\eta(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & t \leqslant \frac{R}{8m} \\ \frac{8m}{R} \cdot (\frac{R}{4m} - t) & t \in (\frac{R}{8m}, \frac{R}{4m}) \\ 0 & t \geqslant \frac{R}{4m}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\int_{B_R} \psi_s \psi_{s'} \mathrm{d}\mu = 0$, for all $1 \leqslant s \neq s' \leqslant m$, and that, for any $s = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, (by using the measure doubling property,)

$$\frac{\int_{B_R} |\nabla \psi_s|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu}{\int_{B_R} \psi_s^2 \mathrm{d}\mu} \leqslant \frac{(\frac{8m}{R})^2 \cdot \mu(B_{\frac{R}{4m}}(x_s))}{\mu(B_{\frac{R}{8m}}(x_s))} \leqslant \frac{2^{C_D+6}}{R^2} \cdot m^2,$$

where $C_D = C_D(R)$ is the doubling constant, depending only on N, K and R. By the Rellich's compactness (see also [10, Eq.(5.2)]), the Courant's min-max principle of eigenvalues still holds (see, for example, [19]). It implies $\lambda_m \leqslant 2^{C_D+6} \cdot R^{-2} \cdot m^2$. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(X, d, \mu), B_R(p)$ and $\phi_m^{(R)}$ be the above. Then there exists a constant $C_{N,K,R,m} > 0$, depending on N, K, R and m, such that*

$$\|\phi_m^{(R)}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(p))} \leqslant C_{N,K,R} \cdot \lambda_m \leqslant C_{N,K,R,m}, \tag{3.11}$$

and, for any $r \in (0, R)$, that

$$\|\nabla \phi_m^{(R)}\|_{L^\infty(B_r(p))} \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K,R,m}}{R - r}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. To simplify the notations, we shall denote by $B_R := B_R(p)$, $\lambda_m := \lambda_m^{(R)}$ and $H(x, y, t) := H^{(R)}(x, y, t)$.

From $\Delta \phi_m = -\lambda_m \cdot \phi_m$ and $\lambda_m \geqslant 0$, we can get $\mathscr{L}|\phi_m| \geqslant -\lambda_m |\phi_m|$ in the sense of distributions. Noticing that $|\phi_m| \in H_0^1(B_R)$, The Sobolev inequality (see [10, Eq.(5.2)]) (by the standard Nash-De Gorgi-Moser iteration and $\|\phi_m\|_2 = 1$) implies

$$\|\phi_m\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leqslant C_{N,K,R} \cdot \lambda_m \cdot \|\phi_m\|_{L^2(B_R)} = C_{N,K,R} \cdot \lambda_m.$$

By using the equation $\mathscr{L}\phi_m = \Delta \phi_m \cdot \mu = -\lambda_m \phi_m \cdot \mu$ and the localized Bochner formula [52, Theorem3.2], we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{L}(|\nabla \phi_m|^2) \geqslant \frac{(\Delta \phi_m)^2}{N} + \langle \nabla \phi_m, \nabla(\Delta \phi_m) \rangle + K|\nabla \phi_m|^2 \geqslant (K - \lambda_m) \cdot |\nabla \phi_m|^2$$

in the sense of distributions in B_R. The standard Nash-De Gorgi-Moser iteration implies that

$$\|\|\nabla \phi_m\|\|_{L^\infty(B_r)} \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K,R}}{R - r} \cdot |K - \lambda_m| \cdot \|\|\nabla \phi_m\|\|_{L^2(B_R)} = \frac{C_{N,K,R}}{R - r} \cdot |K - \lambda_m| \cdot \lambda_m \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K,R,m}}{R - r}.$$

The proof is finished. □

Let $K \leqslant 0$ and $N > 1$ and let $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ be a sequence of metric measure spaces so that (X_j, d_j, μ_j) satisfying $RCD^*(K,N)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence, the $(X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty)$ dose also, by the stability of $RCD^*(K,N)$ under the pointed measure Gromov-Hausdorff convergence (see [16]). Taking points $p_j \in X_j$, we assume that the sequence of pointed metric measure spaces $(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}}$ satisfy

$$(X_j, d_j, \mu_j, p_j) \overset{pmGH}{\longrightarrow} (X_\infty, d_\infty, \mu_\infty, p_\infty).$$

Fix $a > 2$ and $R > 0$ with $R \in (0, \mathrm{diam}(X_j)/a)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ in the sequel of this section.

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote that $\lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}$ is the $m-$th Dirichlet eigenvalues of $\Delta^{(R)}_j$ on the ball $B_R(p_j)$, and that $\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}$, normalized by $\|\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}\|_2 = 1$, is the associated eigenfunction with respect to $\lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}$. The Dirichlet heat kernel on $B_R(p_j)$ is

$$H^{(R)}_j(x,y,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}t}\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}(x)\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}(y).$$

By using Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5, we can assume, after passing to a subsequence, (say j_k,) that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions converge:

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \lambda^{(R)}_{m,j} = \lambda_{m,\infty}, \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \phi^{(R)}_{m,j} = \phi_{m,\infty}. \tag{3.14}$$

where the convergence of $\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}\to\phi_{m,\infty}$ is in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ and is also uniformly in $B_r(p_j)$, for any $r \in (0,R)$, by Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty\backslash\overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$. Let $\Delta^{(R)}_\infty$ be the infinitesimal generator of the Dirichlet form $(\mathscr{E}_{B_R(p_\infty)}, H^1_0(B_R(p_\infty)))$ on $B_R(p_\infty)$, with the domain $D(\Delta^{(R)}_\infty)$. Then, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that the function $\phi_{m,\infty} \in D(\Delta^{(R)}_\infty)$ and that*

$$\Delta^{(R)}_\infty\phi_{m,\infty} = -\lambda_{m,\infty}\phi_{m,\infty}. \tag{3.15}$$

That is, $\lambda_{m,\infty}$ is an eigenvalue of $\Delta^{(R)}_\infty$ with an associated eigenfunction $\phi_{m,\infty}$. Moreover, the converging $\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}\to\phi_{m,\infty}$ is in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$.

Proof. From $\phi^{(R)}_{m,j} \to \phi_{m,\infty}$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ and

$$|||\nabla\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}|||_2 = \lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}\|\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}\|_2 = \lambda^{(R)}_{m,j},$$

by using Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.2 (i), we have $\phi_{m,\infty} \in H^1_0(B_R(p_\infty))$. By applying Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\mathscr{L}_\infty\phi_{m,\infty} = -\lambda_{m,\infty}\cdot\phi_{m,\infty}\cdot\mu_\infty, \tag{3.16}$$

where $\mathscr{L}_\infty$ is the distributional Laplacian on $B_R(p_\infty)$. Notice that $\phi_{m,\infty} \in H^1_0(B_R(p_\infty))$. From [18], we have that the function $\phi_{m,\infty} \in D(\Delta^{(R)}_\infty)$ and (3.15). This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, we need only to show

$$|||\nabla\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}|||_2 \to |||\nabla\phi^{(R)}_{m,\infty}|||_2 \quad \text{as} \quad j\to\infty. \tag{3.17}$$

The equation (3.15) implies that $|||\nabla\phi^{(R)}_{m,\infty}|||_2 = \lambda_{m,\infty}$. Now the desired (3.17) comes from the combination of this and $|||\nabla\phi^{(R)}_{m,j}|||_2 = \lambda^{(R)}_{m,j}$ and $\lambda^{(R)}_{m,j} \to \lambda^{(R)}_{m,\infty}$ as $j\to\infty$. The proof is finished. □

The following is the crucial point in this section.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that* $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$. *The sequence* $\{\phi_{m,\infty}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ *forms a complete basis of* $L^2(B_R(p_\infty))$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.6, it suffices to show that all of eigenfunctions of $\Delta_\infty^{(R)}$ are in $\{\phi_{m,\infty}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose not, there exists an eigenfunction $\psi_\infty \in H_0^1(B_R(p_\infty))$ with

$$\|\psi_\infty\|_2 = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \psi_\infty \cdot \phi_{m,\infty} \mathrm{d}\mu_\infty = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.18}$$

Let σ_∞ be the eigenvalue of $\Delta_\infty^{(R)}$ with respect to ψ_∞. Define

$$m_0 := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} |\ \lambda_{m,\infty} \leqslant 2\sigma_\infty + 2\}. \tag{3.19}$$

By Proposition (3.2) (ii), we can lift ψ_∞ to a sequence of functions $\psi_j \in H_0^1(B_R(p_j))$ such that $\psi_j \to \psi_\infty$ in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$. For each j, since $\{\phi_{m,j}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a complete basis in L^2, we denote the Fourier expansion of ψ_j w.r.t. $\{\phi_{m,j}\}$ by

$$\psi_j = \sum_{m=1}^\infty a_{m,j}\phi_{m,j} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{m,j} := \int_{B_R(p_j)} \psi_j \cdot \phi_{m,j} \mathrm{d}\mu_j. \tag{3.20}$$

Then

$$\|\psi_j\|_2^2 = \sum_{m\geqslant 1} a_{m,j}^2 = \sum_{m\leqslant m_0} a_{m,j}^2 + \sum_{m\geqslant m_0+1}^\infty a_{m,j}^2 \tag{3.21}$$

$$\|\|\nabla\psi_j\|\|_2^2 = \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \lambda_{m,j} a_{m,j}^2 \geqslant \sum_{m\geqslant m_0+1} \lambda_{m,j} a_{m,j}^2 \geqslant \lambda_{m_0+1,j} \sum_{m\geqslant m_0+1} a_{m,j}^2. \tag{3.22}$$

By $\psi_j \to \psi_\infty$ and $\phi_{m,j} \to \phi_{m,\infty}$ in $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ as $j \to \infty$, we have, for each m with $1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_0$, that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} a_{m,j} = \int_{B_R(p_\infty)} \psi_\infty \cdot \phi_{m,\infty} \mathrm{d}\mu_\infty = 0.$$

By combining with $\psi_j \to \psi_\infty$ in $H^1(B_R(p_j))$, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $j_0 = j_0(m_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $j \geqslant j_0$ we have

$$\|\psi_j\|_2^2 \geqslant 1 - \epsilon, \quad \|\|\nabla\psi_j\|\|_2^2 \leqslant \|\|\nabla\psi_\infty\|\|_2^2 + \epsilon \leqslant \sigma_\infty + \epsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad |a_{m,j}| \leqslant \epsilon, \ \ \forall\, m \leqslant m_0. \tag{3.23}$$

By $\lambda_{m_0+1,j} \to \lambda_{m_0+1,\infty}$ and $\lambda_{m_0+1,\infty} \geqslant 2\sigma_\infty + 2$, (by (3.21)), we know that $\lambda_{m_0+1,j} > 2\sigma_\infty + 1$ for all j sufficiently large. From (3.21) and (3.23), we get, for all j sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{m\geqslant m_0+1} a_{m,j}^2 \geqslant 1 - \epsilon - m_0\epsilon^2. \tag{3.24}$$

By the combination of (3.22), (3.23) and that $\lambda_{m_0+1,j} > 2\sigma_\infty + 1$, we get, for all j sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{m\geqslant m_0+1} a_{m,j}^2 \leqslant \frac{\sigma_\infty + \epsilon}{2\sigma_\infty + 1}.$$

This contradicts to (3.24) when ϵ is small enough. The proof is finished. □

This Lemma states that $\{\lambda_{m,\infty}, \phi_{m,\infty}\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the *complete* spectral system of $\Delta_\infty^{(R)}$. Hence, the limit of the convergence in (3.13) is unique and does not independent of the choice of subsequence j_k. So the Dirichlet heat kernel of $B_R(p_\infty)$, in fact, is

$$H_\infty^{(R)}(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=1} e^{-\lambda_{m,\infty} t} \phi_{m,\infty}(x) \cdot \phi_{m,\infty}(y). \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, we have obtained:

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that* $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$.[2] *Let* $H_j^{(R)}(x,y,t)$ *be the Dirichlet heat kernel on* $B_R(p_j)$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. *Then for any fixed* $t > 0$, *we have that* $H_j^{(R)}(p_j,\cdot,t) \to H_\infty^{(R)}(p_\infty,\cdot,t)$ *is in* $L^2(B_R(p_j))$ *and is also uniformly in* $B_{R/2}(p_j)$, *as* $j \to \infty$.

In particular, the local spectral convergence, Proposition 1.3, holds.

Remark that an L^2-convergence theorem for global heat flows on (X_j, d_j, μ_j, p_j) was proved in [19]. An H^1-convergence theorem for local heat flows has recent been obtained by Ambrosio-Honda in [6].

On a pointed metric measure space (X,d,μ,p), by the monotonicity of Dirichlet heat kernels with respect to domains, the heat kernel is given by

$$H(x,y,t) = \lim_{R\to\infty} H^{(R)}(x,y,t).$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let* (X,d,μ,p) *be a pointed metric measure space with* $RCD^*(K,N)$ *for some* $K \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $N > 1$. *Let* $H^{(R)}(x,y,t)$ *be the Dirichlet heat kernel on* $B_R(p)$. *Then, for any* $t > 0$, *there exists a constant* $R_0 = R_0(N,K,t)$ *such that, for all* $R' \geqslant R \geqslant R_0$, *we have*

$$\sup_{B_R(p)} \left|H^{(R')}(x,p,t) - H^{(R)}(x,p,t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C_{K,N}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}}(p))} \cdot e^{-R}, \tag{3.26}$$

and that, for some constant $C_{N,K,t} > 0$,

$$\int_{X\backslash B_R} H^{(R')}(x,p,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant C_{N,K,t} \cdot e^{-R}. \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. Let $H(x,y,t)$ be the heat kernel on (X,d,μ). Recalling the monotonicity of heat kernels with respect to domains that

$$H^{(R)}(x,p,t) \leqslant H^{(R')}(x,p,t) \leqslant H(x,p,t), \quad \forall\ x \in B_R(p), \tag{3.28}$$

and combining with the upper bound of H, (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{B_R} \left|H(x,p,t) - H^{(R)}(x,p,t)\right| &\leqslant \sup_{\partial B_R} \left|H^{(R)}(x,p,t) - H(x,p,t)\right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{K,N}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}})} \cdot \exp\Big(-\frac{R^2}{5t} + C_{K,N}\cdot t\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where $B_s := B_s(p)$. This proves (3.26) provided that R is so large that $R \geqslant R_0 := \max\{10t, C_{N,K}\cdot t\}$.

The generalized Bishop-Gromov inequality (2.8) for $RCD^*(K,N)$-space implies that, for all $j \geqslant 1$ and all $R \geqslant \sqrt{t}$,

$$\frac{\mu(B_{(j+1)R}\backslash B_{jR})}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}})} \leqslant \frac{\overline{\mu}_{(j+1)R} - \overline{\mu}_{jR}}{\overline{\mu}_{\sqrt{t}}} \leqslant \frac{e^{C'_{N,K}\cdot jR}}{\overline{\mu}_{\sqrt{t}}} \tag{3.29}$$

for some constant $C'_{N,K}$, where $\overline{\mu}_s := \int_0^s \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}^{N-1}(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$ and $\mathfrak{s}_k(t)$ is given in (2.9). Hence we have, for all $j \geqslant 1$ and all $R \geqslant \sqrt{t}$, that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{X\backslash B_R} H(x,p,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &\leqslant \frac{C_{K,N}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}})} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{(j+1)R}\backslash B_{jR}} \exp\Big(-\frac{d^2(p,x)}{5t} + C_{K,N}\cdot t\Big)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\ &\leqslant C_{K,N} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(B_{(j+1)R}\backslash B_{jR})}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}})} \cdot \exp\Big(-\frac{(jR)^2}{5t} + C_{N,K}\cdot t\Big) \\ &\leqslant \frac{C_{K,N}}{\overline{\mu}_{\sqrt{t}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \cdot \exp\Big(-\frac{(jR)^2}{10t} + C_{N,K}\cdot t + C'_{N,K}\cdot jR\Big).\end{aligned}$$

[2] According to Remark 2.4(1), the assumption can be replaced by $\mathrm{Cap}_2(\partial B_R(p_\infty)\backslash\partial(X_\infty\backslash\overline{B_R(p_\infty)})) = 0$.

The combination this with (3.28) proves (3.27). The proof is finished. □

As a consequence, we have the convergence of heat kernels as follows.

Corollary 3.10. *For any R large enough, we assume that* $\partial B_R(p_\infty) = \partial(X_\infty \backslash \overline{B_R(p_\infty)})$.

Let R_j *be a sequence such that* $R_j \to \infty$ *as* $j \to \infty$. *Let* $H_j^{(R_j)}(x, y, t)$ *be the Dirichlet heat kernel on* $B_{R_j}(p_j)$ *for all* $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. *Then for any fixed* $t > 0$, *we have that the convergence* $H_j^{(R_j)}(\cdot, p_j, t) \to H_\infty(\cdot, p_\infty, t)$ *is in* L^1 *sense, and is also uniformly in* $B_R(p_j)$ *for any fixed R, as* $j \to \infty$.

Proof. It comes immediately from the combination of Theorem 3.8 and Lemma 3.9. □

This corollary in the special case where (M_j^n, g_j, vol_j, p_j) are a sequence of smooth n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $Ric \geqslant -k$ and $vol_j(B_1(p_1)) \geqslant v_0 > 0$, was earlier obtained by Ding [15]. A pointed converging theorem for global heat kernels on $RCD^*(K, N)$ spaces was recently given in [7].

Remark 3.11. Let $X := C(Y)$ be the cone over space Y such that (X, d, μ, o_Y) is an $RCD^*(0, N)$ space, where o_Y is the vertex. Then, for any ball $B_R(o_Y)$, we have $\partial B_R(o_Y) = \partial(X\backslash\overline{B_R(o_Y)})$. In particular, it holds for Euclidean spaces.

4. Weyl's law

In this section, we fix a metric measure space (X, d, μ) satisfying $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some $K \leqslant 0$ and $N > 1$. Let $p \in X$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, we consider the rescaled and normalized pointed metric measure space (X, d_r, μ_r^p, p), where

$$d_r(\cdot,\cdot) := r^{-1} d(\cdot,\cdot), \quad \mu_r^p := \frac{\mu}{b(p,r)} \quad \text{and} \quad b(p,r) := \int_{B_r(p)} \Big(1 - \frac{d(p,x)}{r}\Big) \mathrm{d}\mu(x). \tag{4.1}$$

By the doubling property, we have

$$\mu(B_r(p)) \geqslant b(p,r) \geqslant \frac{1}{2C_D}\mu(B_r(p)),$$

where $C_D = C_D(R)$ is the doubling constant. Indeed, for any $r > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(p)} d(p,x)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &\leqslant \frac{r}{2}\cdot\mu(B_{r/2}(p)) + r\cdot\mu(B_r(p)\backslash B_{r/2}(p)) \\ &= r\cdot\mu(B_r(p)) - \frac{r}{2}\cdot\mu(B_{r/2}(p)) \leqslant (r - \frac{r}{2C_D})\mu(B_r(p)).\end{aligned}$$

This implies immediately that

$$b(p,r) = \mu(B_r(p)) - \frac{1}{r}\int_{B_r(p)} d(p,x)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \geqslant \frac{1}{2C_D}\mu(B_r(p)).$$

Definition 4.1 (Tangent cones). *Let* (X, d, μ) *be a metric measure space and let* $p \in X$. *A pointed metric measure space* $(Y, d_Y, \mathrm{m}_Y, y)$ *is called a* tangent cone *of* (X, d, μ) *at p if there exists a sequence* $\{r_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $r_j \to 0$, *as* $j \to \infty$, *such that*

$$(X, d_{r_j}, \mu_{r_j}^p, p) \overset{pmGH}{\to} (Y, d_Y, \mathrm{m}_Y, y).$$

A tangent cone at p may depend on the choice of the sequence $\{r_j\}$. *The set of all the tangent cones at p is denoted by* $\mathrm{Tan}(X, d, \mu, p)$.

A point $p \in X$, is called a k-regular point if the tangent cones at p is unique and if

$$\mathrm{Tan}(X, d, \mu, p) = \{(\mathbb{R}^k, d_{\mathrm{E}}, \mathscr{L}_k, 0)\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where d_{E} is the standard Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathscr{L}^k$ is the k-dimensional Lebesgue measure normalized so that $\int_{B_1(0)}(1-|x|)d\mathscr{L}^k(x)=1$. We denote the set of all of k-regular points of (X,d,μ) by $\mathcal{R}_k$.

Very recently, a structure theorem of $RCD^*(K,N)$-spaces has been given by Mondino-Naber [38], and by Kell-Mondino [30], Gigli-Phillipis [20] and Phillipis al.[43].

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X,d,μ) be a metric measure space satisfying $RCD^*(K,N)$ for some $K\in\mathbb{R}$ and some $N\in(1,\infty)$. Then we have*

(i) ([38, Theorem 6.7]). *$\mu(X\setminus\cup_{1\leqslant k\leqslant[N]}\mathcal{R}_k)=0$, where $[N]:=\max\{n\in\mathbb{N}|\ n\leqslant N\}$;*

(ii) ([38, Theorem 1.3]. *Each $\mathcal{R}_k$ is k-rectifiable. More precisely, there exists $\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon}(K,N)>0$ such that, for every $\epsilon\in(0,\bar{\epsilon})$, we can cover $\mathcal{R}_k$, up to an μ-negligible subset, by a countable collection of sets $U_\epsilon^{k,\ell}$, $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, with the property that each $U_\epsilon^{k,\ell}$ is $(1+\epsilon)$-bilipschitz to a subset of $\mathbb{R}^k$;*

(iii) ([30, 20, 43]). *For each $U_\epsilon^{k,\ell}$ in above (ii), the measure $\mu|_{U_\epsilon^{k,\ell}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathscr{H}^k$, the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure.*

Let us recall that the *k-dimensional density function* ($k\geqslant 1$), $v_k:X\to[0,\infty]$ is defined by

$$\theta_k(p)=\theta_k(\mu,p):=\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{\mu(B_r(p))}{\omega_k\cdot r^k}. \tag{4.3}$$

where ω_k is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^k$ (under the standard Lebesgue's measure).

From Theorem 4.2 (ii) and (iii), it is clear that, for μ-almost all $p\in\mathcal{R}_k$, the limit (4.3) exists and is finite and non-zero, and that

$$\mu|_{\mathcal{R}_k}=\theta_k\cdot\mathscr{H}^k.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For for μ-almost all $p\in\mathcal{R}_k$, we have*

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\frac{b(p,r)}{r^k}=\frac{\theta_k(p)\omega_k}{k+1}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let $p\in\mathcal{R}_k$ such that the limit of (4.3) exists and that $\theta_k(p)\in(0,\infty)$. By (4.3), we have

$$\mu(B_r(p))=\theta_k(p)\omega_k\cdot r^k\cdot(1+o(1)). \tag{4.5}$$

From (2.8), it is clear that $r\mapsto\mu(B_r(p))$ is locally Lipschitz on $(0,R)$ for any $R>0$. So we get, by (4.5), that for almost all $r\in(0,R)$,

$$\frac{d}{dr}\mu(B_r(p)):=A_p(r)=\theta_k(p)\omega_k\cdot k\cdot r^{k-1}\cdot(1+o(1)),$$

and hence

$$\int_{B_r(p)}d(p,x)\mathrm{d}\mu(x)=\int_0^r s\cdot A_p(s)\mathrm{d}s=\frac{k\cdot\theta_k(p)\omega_k}{k+1}\cdot r^{k+1}\cdot(1+o(1)).$$

Therefore, from (4.5) and the definition of $b(p,r)$ in (4.1) , we get

$$b(p,r)=\frac{\theta_k(p)\omega_k}{k+1}\cdot r^k\cdot(1+o(1)).$$

This is (4.4), and finishes the proof. □

Let $\Omega\subset X$ be a bounded open subset and let $H^\Omega(x,y,t)$ be the Dirichlet heat kernel on Ω.

Lemma 4.4. *For μ-almost all $p\in\mathcal{R}_k\cap\Omega$, we have*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}H^\Omega(p,p,t)\cdot t^{k/2}=\frac{1}{\theta_k(p)\cdot(4\pi)^{k/2}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that, for μ-almost all $p \in \mathcal{R}_k \cap \Omega$,

$$\lim_{t\to 0} H^{\Omega}(p,p,t)\cdot b(p,\sqrt{t}) = \frac{\omega_k}{k+1}\cdot(4\pi)^{-k/2}. \tag{4.7}$$

We shall firstly consider the case where $\Omega = B_R(p)$ for some $R > 0$.

Given any $\alpha,\beta > 0$, we denote $H^{(\alpha R)}_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,t)$ by the Dirichlet heat kernel on $B_{\alpha R}(p) \subset (X,\alpha d,\beta\mu,p)$, the rescaled space. It is clear that

$$H^{(\alpha R)}_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,t) = \frac{1}{\beta}\cdot H^{(R)}(x,y,\frac{t}{\alpha^2}). \tag{4.8}$$

By taking $r = r_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and choosing $\alpha := r_j^{-1}, \beta := b^{-1}(p,r_j)$, by using Corollary 3.10 (and Remark 3.11) and the definition of $\mathcal{R}_k$, we get

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} H^{(R)}(p,p,r_j^2)\cdot b(p,r_j) = \lim_{j\to\infty} H^{(\alpha R)}_{\alpha,\beta}(p,p,1) = b_k\cdot(4\pi)^{-k/2}, \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$b_k := \int_{B_1(0^k)} (1-|x|)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{\omega_k}{k+1}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0} H^{(R)}(p,p,t)\cdot b(p,\sqrt{t}) = \frac{\omega_k}{k+1}\cdot(4\pi)^{-k/2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Since Ω is a bounded domain, we can find two balls such that $B_{R_1}(p) \subset \Omega \subset B_{R_2}(p)$. According to the monotonicity of Dirichlet heat kernels on domains, we have

$$H^{(R_1)}(p,p,t) \leqslant H^{\Omega}(p,p,t) \leqslant H^{(R_2)}(p,p,t).$$

By combining this with (4.10), we conclude (4.7). Therefore, the proof is finished. □

We need to introduce the following condition.

Definition 4.5. *Let $k_0 > 0$ and $r_0 \in (0,1)$, and let $\Omega \subset (X,d)$ be domain. A Radon measure ν is called* k_0-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0, *if the functions $x \mapsto \frac{r^{k_0}}{\nu(B_r(x))}$ are integrable uniformly in $r \in (0,r_0)$. More precisely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, r_0, k_0, \Omega) > 0$ such that, for any ν-measurable set $E \subset \Omega$ with $\nu(E) < \delta$, we have*

$$\int_E \frac{r^{k_0}}{\nu(B_r(x))}\mathrm{d}\nu(x) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall\ r \in (0,r_0). \tag{4.11}$$

It is clear that if a measure ν is k_0-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0, then it is $k'-$noncollapsing of scale r' for any $k' \geqslant k_0$ and $r' \leqslant r_0$. Let us consider some examples.

Example 1: Let (X,d,μ) be an $RCD^*(K,N)$-metric measure space. Then the measure μ must be $N-$noncollapsing on any bounded domain $\Omega \subset X$ of scale $d_\Omega := \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$. Indeed, by the generalized Bishop-Gromov inequality (2.8), we have

$$\frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{\mu(B_{d_\Omega}(x))} \geqslant \frac{\int_0^r \mathfrak{s}^{N-1}_{K/(N-1)}(s)\mathrm{d}s}{\int_0^{d_\Omega} \mathfrak{s}^{N-1}_{K/(N-1)}(s)\mathrm{d}s} \geqslant C_{d_\Omega} r^N, \quad \forall\ r \in (0,d_\Omega).$$

That is, $\frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{r^N} \geqslant C_{d_\Omega}\cdot\mu(B_{d_\Omega}(x))$. Hence, the measure μ is $N-$noncollapsing on Ω of scale d_Ω.

Example 2: Let (X,d,μ) be an $RCD^*(K,N)$-metric measure space, and let $\nu := e^{-V}\cdot\mu$ be another Radon measure with $V \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(X)$. Then ν is also $N-$noncollapsing on any bounded domain $\Omega \subset X$ of scale $d_\Omega = \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$. This comes directly from

$$\nu(B_r(x)) \geqslant e^{-\|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}\cdot\mu(B_r(x)), \quad \forall\ x \in \Omega.$$

Theorem 4.6. *Let (X, d, μ) be an RCD$^*(K, N)$-metric measure space and let $\Omega \subset X$ be a bounded domain. Let*

$$k_1 := \max\{k|\ \mu(\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_k) > 0\}.$$

Assume that μ is k_0-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0 ($r_0 > 0$) for some $k_0 > 0$. If $k_0 \leqslant k_1$, then we have the asymptotic formula of Dirichlet eigenvalues

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{N_\Omega(\lambda)}{\lambda^{k_1/2}} = \Gamma(k_1/2+1)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $N_\Omega(\lambda) := \#\{\lambda_j^\Omega :\ \lambda_j^\Omega \leqslant \lambda\}$.

Proof. By the upper bounds of the heat kernels (2.11), we have

$$H^\Omega(x, x, t) \leqslant H(x, x, t) \leqslant \frac{C_{N,K}}{\mu(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))} \cdot \exp\left(C_{N,K} \cdot t\right). \tag{4.13}$$

Since $k_1 \geqslant k_0$, we know that μ is also k_1-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0. Fix any $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given in the definition of k_1-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0. From Lemma 4.4 and by using Egonov's Theorem, there exists a μ-measure set E with $\mu(E) < \delta$ such that

$$H^\Omega(x, x, t) \cdot t^{k_1/2} \to \frac{1}{\theta_{k_1}(x) \cdot (4\pi)^{k_1/2}} \ \text{ uniformly on } \ (\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_1})\backslash E.$$

Hence, by combining (4.13) and that μ is k_1-noncollapsing on Ω of scale r_0, we have, for any $\sqrt{t} \leqslant r_0$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &\leqslant \varepsilon + \lim_{t\to 0} \int_{(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1})\backslash E} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \varepsilon + \int_{(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1})\backslash E} \lim_{t\to 0} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \varepsilon + \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} \frac{1}{\theta_{k_1}(x) \cdot (4\pi)^{k_1/2}}\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \varepsilon + \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} \frac{\theta_{k_1}(x)}{\theta_{k_1}(x) \cdot (4\pi)^{k_1/2}}\mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(x) \\
&= \varepsilon + \frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\mu|_{\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} = \theta_{k_1} \cdot \mathscr{H}^{k_1}$. By Fatou's lemma, we have also

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) &\geqslant \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} \lim_{t\to 0} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\
&= \frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1}} t^{k_1/2} \cdot H^\Omega(x, x, t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}}. \tag{4.14}$$

For each $k < k_1$, From Lemma 4.4, we have, for μ-almost all $x \in \mathcal{R}_k$, that

$$\lim_{t\to 0} H^\Omega(x, x, t) \cdot t^{k_1/2} = \lim_{t\to 0} H^\Omega(x, x, t) \cdot t^{\frac{k}{2}} \cdot t^{\frac{k_1-k}{2}} = 0, \quad \forall\ k < k_1.$$

By the same argument as deducing (4.14), we obtain

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_k} t^{k_1/2}\cdot H^{\Omega}(x,x,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x)=0. \tag{4.15}$$

The combination of (4.14), (4.15) and Theorem 4.2 (i) implies the asymptotical formula for the heat trace

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{k_1/2}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j^{\Omega}t} &= \lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\Omega} t^{k_1/2}\cdot H^{\Omega}(x,x,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \lim_{t\to 0}\int_{\Omega\cap(\cup_{j=1}^{k_1}\mathcal{R}_j)} t^{k_1/2}\cdot H^{\Omega}(x,x,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\ &= \lim_{t\to 0}\sum_{j=1}^{k_1}\int_{\mathcal{R}_j\cap\Omega} t^{k_1/2}H^{\Omega}(x,x,t)\mathrm{d}\mu(x)\\ &= \frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}},\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, we have used the fact that $\mu(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{j'})=0$ for any $j'>k_1$. Finally, by applying the Karamata Tauberian theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\frac{N_{\Omega}(\lambda)}{\lambda^{k_1/2}} = \Gamma(k_1/2+1)^{-1}\cdot\frac{\mathscr{H}^{k_1}(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_{k_1})}{(4\pi)^{k_1/2}}.$$

The proof is finished. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega\subset X$ be a bounded domain. Firstly, we will prove that

$$\mu(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_k)=0, \quad \text{for any integral } k<N. \tag{4.16}$$

Indeed, from Theorem 4.2(ii), we know that $\mathcal{R}_k$ is k-rectifiable. Thus we get $\mathscr{H}^N(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_k)=0$, since $k<N$. By using the assumption that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mathscr{H}^N$, we conclude $\mu(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_k)=0$.

Secondly, we want to show that N is an integral. Argue by contradiction. Suppose not, we have $[N]<N$. By applying (4.16), we get $\mu(\Omega\cap(\cup_{1\leqslant k\leqslant[N]}\mathcal{R}_k))=0$. By combining with Theorem 4.2(i), we have $\mu(\Omega)=0$. Remark that the generalized Bishop-Gromov inequality implies that $\mu(B)>0$ for any ball $B\subset X$. This is a contradiction with $\mu(\Omega)=0$, and hence, we conclude that N is an integral.

Lastly, we will show the asymptotic formula (1.1). From the argument in the above *Example 1*, we know that μ is $N-$noncollapsing on $\Omega\subset X$ of scale $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$. By using (4.16) and Theorem 4.2(i), we have $\mu(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_N)=\mu(\Omega)>0$. This implies that the number k_1 in Theorem 4.6 is N. According to Theorem 4.6, it suffices to prove

$$\mathscr{H}^N(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_N)=\mathscr{H}^N(\Omega). \tag{4.17}$$

The assumption of the $V\in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ implies that the measures, $\mu=e^{-V}\cdot\mathscr{H}^N$ and $\mathscr{H}^N$, are mutually absolutely continuous. In particular, by Theorem 4.2(i), we have $\mathscr{H}^N(\Omega\backslash(\cup_{1\leqslant k\leqslant N}\mathcal{R}_k))=0$. By using Theorem 4.2(ii) again, we get that $\mathscr{H}^N(\Omega\cap\mathcal{R}_k)=0$ for all $k<N$. Hence we conclude (4.17). Now the proof is finished. □

References

[1] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Bakry–Emery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds*, Ann. Probab., 43 (2015), 339–404.

[2] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Density of Lipschitz functions and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 29 (2013), 969–996.

[3] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Metric meausure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvauture bounded from below*, Duke Math. J., 163(7) (2014), 1405–1490.

[4] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, G. Savaré, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below*, Invent. Math., 195(2) (2014), 289–391.

[5] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda, *New stability results for sequences of metric measure spaces with uniform Ricci bounds from below*, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.07908.

[6] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda, *Local spectral converence in $RCD^*(K,N)$ spaces*, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.04939.

[7] L. Ambrosio, S. Honda, D. Tewodrose, *Short-time behavior of the heat kernel and Weyls law on $RCD^*(K,N)$ spaces*, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03906.

[8] L. Ambrosio, A. Mondino, G. Savaré, *On the Bakry-Émery condition, the gradient estimates and the local-to-global property of $RCD^*(K,N)$ metric measure spaces*, J. Geom. Anal., 26(1) (2016), 24–56.

[9] K. Bacher, K. Sturm, *Localization and tensonrization properties of the curvature-dimension for metric measure spaces,* J. Funct. Anal., 259(1) (2010) 28–56.

[10] A. Björn, N. Marola, *Moser iteration for (quasi)minimizers on metric spaces*, Manuscripta Math. 121, (2006), 339–366.

[11] F. Cavalletti, E. Milman, *The Globalization Theorem for the Curvature Dimension Condition*, availabe at https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.07623.

[12] F. Cavalletti, A. Mondino, *Sharp and rigid isoperimetric inequalities in metric-measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds*. to appear in Invent. Math., available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.06465.

[13] J. Cheeger, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 9, (1999), 428–517.

[14] E. B. Davies, *Heat kernels and spectral theory*, volume 92 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[15] Y. Ding, *Heat kernels and Green's functions on limit spaces*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 10(3) (2002), 475–514.

[16] M. Erbar, K. Kuwada, K. Sturm, *On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochners inequality on metric measure spaces*, Invent. Math., 201 (2015), 993–1071.

[17] N. Gigli, *The splitting theorem in non-smooth context*, available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.5555.

[18] N. Gigli, *On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 236 (1113) (2015).

[19] N. Gigli, A. Mondino, G. Savaré, *Convergence of pointed non-compact metric measure spaces and stability of Ricci curvature bounds and heat flows*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 111 (1113), 1071–1129. (2015).

[20] N. Gigli, E. Pasqualetto, *Behaviour of the reference measure on RCD spaces under charts*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.05188.

[21] N. Gigli, T. Rajala, K. Sturm, K, *Optimal maps and exponentiation on finite dimensional spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below*, J. Geom. Anal., 26 (2016), 2914–2929, DOI 10.1007/s12220-015-9654-y.

[22] P. Hajłasz, *Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces*, Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), 173–218, Contemp. Math., 338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[23] P. Hajłasz, P. Koskela, *Sobolev met Poincaré*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 145(688), (2000), x–101.

[24] J. Heinonen, O. Martio, *The Sobolev capacity on metric spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 21(1996), 367–382.

[25] S. Honda, *Ricci curvature and convergence of Lipschitz functions*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 19(1) (2011), 79–158.

[26] B. Hua, M. Kell, C. Xia, *Harmonic functions on metric measure spaces*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.3607.

[27] V. Ivrii, *100 years of Weyl's law*, Bull. Math. Sci., 6 (2016), no. 3, 379–452.

[28] R. Jiang, *The Li-Yau inequality and heat kernels on metric measure spaces,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 104 (9) (2015) 29–57.

[29] R. Jiang, H. Li, H. Zhang, *Heat kernel bounds on metric measure spaces and some spplications,* Potential Anal., 44(2016), 601–627.

[30] M. Kell, A. Mondino, *On the volume measure of non-smooth spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02036.

[31] T. Kilpeläinen, J. Kinnunen & O. Martio, *Sobolev Spaces with Zero Borundary Values on Metric Spaces*, Potential Anal. 12 (2000), 233–247.

[32] K. Kuwae, T. Shioya, *Convergence of spectral structures: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 11(4) (2003), 599–673.

[33] P. Lax, *Functional Analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 2002. xx+580 pp. ISBN: 0-471-55604-1.

[34] X.-D. Li, *Perelmans entropy formula for the Witten Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds via Bakry–Emery Ricci curvature*, Math. Ann., 353:403–437, 2012.

[35] J. Lott, C. Villani, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, Ann. of Math. 169 (2009), 903–991

[36] J. Lott, C. Villani, *Weak curvature bounds and functional inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. 245(1) (2007), 311–333.

[37] E. Milman, *Spectral Estimates, Contractions and Hypercontractivity*, to appear in J. Spectr. Theory, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00606.

[38] A. Mondino, A. Naber, *Structure theory of metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds I*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2222.

[39] A. Mondino, G. Wei, *On the universal cover and the fundamental group of an $RCD^*(K,N)$-space*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math., available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.02854.

[40] S. Moroianu, *Weyl laws on open manifolds*, Math. Ann., 340 (2008), no. 1, 1–21.

[41] W. Müller, *Weyl's law for the cuspidal spectrum of SL_n*, Ann. of Math. (2), 165 (2007), no. 1, 275–333.

[42] A. Petrunin, *Alexandrov meets Lott–Villani–Sturm*, Münster J. of Math. 4 (2011), 53–64.

[43] G. Philippis, A. Marchese, F. Rindler, *On a conjecture of Cheeger*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02554.
[44] R. Schoen, S. T. Yau, *Lectures on Differential Geometry*, International Press, Boston, 1994.
[45] N. Shanmugalingam, *Newtonian spaces:An extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 16,(2000), 243–279.
[46] N. Shanmugalingam, *Harmonic functions on metric spaces*, Illinois J. Math., 45(3) 2001, 1021–1050.
[47] K. Sturm, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*. Acta Math. 196(1) (2006), 65–131,
[48] K. Sturm, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*. Acta Math. 196(1) (2006), 133–177.
[49] K. Sturm, *Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. II. Upper Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solutions of parabolic equations*, Osaka J. Math., 32(2) (1995), 275–312.
[50] G. Y. Xu, *Large time behavior of the heat kernel*, J. Differ. Geom. 98 (2014), 467–528.
[51] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, *Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces and rigidity theorems*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 18(3) (2010), 503–554.
[52] H. C. Zhang, X. P. Zhu, *Local Li–Yau's estimates on $RCD^*(K,N)$ metric measure spaces*, Calc. Var. PDE (2016) 55: 93. doi:10.1007/s00526-016-1040-5.

Hui-Chun Zhang: Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, No. 135, Xingang Xi Road, Guangzhou, 510275,
E-mail address: zhanghc3@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Xi-Ping Zhu: Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, No. 135, Xingang Xi Road, Guangzhou, 510275,
E-mail address: stszxp@mail.sysu.edu.cn